

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
VS.	§	NO. 3:11-CV-2960-D
	§	NO. 3:09-CR-083-D(01)
WILLIE FRANK KING	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, and the findings and recommendation of the magistrate judge, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions are correct and are therefore adopted.

Considering the record in this case and pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing §§ 2254 and 2255 proceedings, and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the court denies a certificate of appealability. The court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation filed in this case in support of its finding that the defendant has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find this court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," or (2) that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this court] was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S.473, 484 (2000).


If defendant files a notice of appeal,

() defendant may proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.

(X) defendant must pay the \$455.00 appellate filing fee or submit a motion to proceed
in forma pauperis.

SO ORDERED.

November 29, 2011.


SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
CHIEF JUDGE